

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371141394>

[054] Capítulo 18. Transforming Traditional Professional Development Into Blended Learning Communities (Editora Artemis)

Chapter · May 2023

DOI: 10.37572/EdArt_30052381118

CITATIONS

4

READS

30

2 authors:



Cristo Leon

New Jersey Institute of Technology

139 PUBLICATIONS 52 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



James Lipuma

New Jersey Institute of Technology

81 PUBLICATIONS 47 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

CIÊNCIAS SOCIALMENTE APLICÁVEIS:

INTEGRANDO SABERES E
ABRINDO CAMINHOS

JORGE JOSÉ MARTINS RODRIGUES
MARIA AMÉLIA MARQUES

(Organizadores)

VOL VIII



EDITORA
ARTEMIS

2023

CIÊNCIAS SOCIALMENTE APLICÁVEIS:

INTEGRANDO SABERES E
ABRINDO CAMINHOS

JORGE JOSÉ MARTINS RODRIGUES
MARIA AMÉLIA MARQUES
(Organizadores)

VOL VIII



EDITORA
ARTEMIS

2023



O conteúdo deste livro está licenciado sob uma Licença de Atribuição Creative Commons Atribuição-Não-Comercial NãoDerivativos 4.0 Internacional (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). Direitos para esta edição cedidos à Editora Artemis pelos autores. Permitido o download da obra e o compartilhamento, desde que sejam atribuídos créditos aos autores, e sem a possibilidade de alterá-la de nenhuma forma ou utilizá-la para fins comerciais.

A responsabilidade pelo conteúdo dos artigos e seus dados, em sua forma, correção e confiabilidade é exclusiva dos autores. A Editora Artemis, em seu compromisso de manter e aperfeiçoar a qualidade e confiabilidade dos trabalhos que publica, conduz a avaliação cega pelos pares de todos manuscritos publicados, com base em critérios de neutralidade e imparcialidade acadêmica.

Editora Chefe	Prof. ^a Dr. ^a Antonella Carvalho de Oliveira
Editora Executiva	M. ^a Viviane Carvalho Mocellin
Direção de Arte	M. ^a Bruna Bejarano
Diagramação	Elisangela Abreu
Organizadores	Prof. Dr. Jorge José Martins Rodrigues Prof. ^a Dr. ^a Maria Amélia Marques
Imagem da Capa	ciempies
Bibliotecário	Maurício Amormino Júnior – CRB6/2422

Conselho Editorial

Prof.^a Dr.^a Ada Esther Portero Ricol, *Universidad Tecnológica de La Habana “José Antonio Echeverría”*, Cuba
Prof. Dr. Adalberto de Paula Paranhos, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil
Prof. Dr. Agustín Olmos Cruz, *Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México*, México
Prof.^a Dr.^a Amanda Ramalho de Freitas Brito, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Ana Clara Monteverde, *Universidad de Buenos Aires*, Argentina
Prof.^a Dr.^a Ana Júlia Viamonte, Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto (ISEP), Portugal
Prof. Dr. Ángel Mujica Sánchez, *Universidad Nacional del Altiplano*, Peru
Prof.^a Dr.^a Angela Ester Mallmann Centenaro, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Begoña Blandón González, *Universidad de Sevilla*, Espanha
Prof.^a Dr.^a Carmen Pimentel, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Catarina Castro, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal
Prof.^a Dr.^a Cirila Cervera Delgado, *Universidad de Guanajuato*, México
Prof.^a Dr.^a Cláudia Neves, Universidade Aberta de Portugal
Prof.^a Dr.^a Cláudia Padovesi Fonseca, Universidade de Brasília-DF, Brasil
Prof. Dr. Cleberton Correia Santos, Universidade Federal da Grande Dourados, Brasil
Prof. Dr. David García-Martul, *Universidad Rey Juan Carlos de Madrid*, Espanha
Prof.^a Dr.^a Deuzimar Costa Serra, Universidade Estadual do Maranhão, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Dina Maria Martins Ferreira, Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Edith Luévano-Hipólito, *Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León*, México
Prof.^a Dr.^a Eduarda Maria Rocha Teles de Castro Coelho, Universidade de Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, Portugal
Prof. Dr. Eduardo Eugênio Spers, Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Brasil
Prof. Dr. Eloi Martins Senhoras, Universidade Federal de Roraima, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Elvira Laura Hernández Carballedo, *Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo*, México

Prof.ª Dr.ª Emilas Darlene Carmen Lebus, *Universidad Nacional del Nordeste/ Universidad Tecnológica Nacional, Argentina*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Erla Mariela Morales Morgado, *Universidad de Salamanca, Espanha*
Prof. Dr. Ernesto Cristina, *Universidad de la República, Uruguay*
Prof. Dr. Ernesto Ramírez-Briones, *Universidad de Guadalajara, México*
Prof. Dr. Fernando Hitt, *Université du Québec à Montréal, Canadá*
Prof. Dr. Gabriel Díaz Cobos, *Universitat de Barcelona, Espanha*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Gabriela Gonçalves, *Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Porto (ISEP), Portugal*
Prof. Dr. Geoffroy Roger Pointer Malpass, *Universidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro, Brasil*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Gladys Esther Leoz, *Universidad Nacional de San Luis, Argentina*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Glória Beatriz Álvarez, *Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina*
Prof. Dr. Gonçalo Poeta Fernandes, *Instituto Politécnico da Guarda, Portugal*
Prof. Dr. Gustavo Adolfo Juarez, *Universidad Nacional de Catamarca, Argentina*
Prof. Dr. Håkan Karlsson, *University of Gothenburg, Suécia*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Iara Lúcia Tescarollo Dias, *Universidade São Francisco, Brasil*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Isabel del Rosario Chiyon Carrasco, *Universidad de Piura, Peru*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Isabel Yohena, *Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina*
Prof. Dr. Ivan Amaro, *Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil*
Prof. Dr. Iván Ramon Sánchez Soto, *Universidad del Bio-Bio, Chile*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Ivânia Maria Carneiro Vieira, *Universidade Federal do Amazonas, Brasil*
Prof. Me. Javier Antonio Albornoz, *University of Miami and Miami Dade College, Estados Unidos*
Prof. Dr. Jesús Montero Martínez, *Universidad de Castilla - La Mancha, Espanha*
Prof. Dr. João Manuel Pereira Ramalho Serrano, *Universidade de Évora, Portugal*
Prof. Dr. Joaquim Júlio Almeida Júnior, *UniFIMES - Centro Universitário de Mineiros, Brasil*
Prof. Dr. Jorge Ernesto Bartolucci, *Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México*
Prof. Dr. José Cortez Godínez, *Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, México*
Prof. Dr. Juan Carlos Cancino Díaz, *Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México*
Prof. Dr. Juan Carlos Mosquera Feijoo, *Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Espanha*
Prof. Dr. Juan Diego Parra Valencia, *Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano de Medellín, Colômbia*
Prof. Dr. Juan Manuel Sánchez-Yáñez, *Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, México*
Prof. Dr. Júlio César Ribeiro, *Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil*
Prof. Dr. Leinig Antonio Perazolli, *Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Brasil*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Livia do Carmo, *Universidade Federal de Goiás, Brasil*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Luciane Spanhol Bordignon, *Universidade de Passo Fundo, Brasil*
Prof. Dr. Luis Fernando González Beltrán, *Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México*
Prof. Dr. Luis Vicente Amador Muñoz, *Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Espanha*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Macarena Esteban Ibáñez, *Universidad Pablo de Olavide, Espanha*
Prof. Dr. Manuel Ramiro Rodríguez, *Universidad Santiago de Compostela, Espanha*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Márcia de Souza Luz Freitas, *Universidade Federal de Itajubá, Brasil*
Prof. Dr. Marcos Augusto de Lima Nobre, *Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Brasil*
Prof. Dr. Marcos Vinicius Meiado, *Universidade Federal de Sergipe, Brasil*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Mar Garrido Román, *Universidad de Granada, Espanha*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Margarida Márcia Fernandes Lima, *Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Brasil*
Prof.ª Dr.ª María Alejandra Arecco, *Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Maria Aparecida José de Oliveira, *Universidade Federal da Bahia, Brasil*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Maria Carmen Pastor, *Universitat Jaume I, Espanha*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Maria do Céu Caetano, *Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Maria do Socorro Saraiva Pinheiro, *Universidade Federal do Maranhão, Brasil*
Prof.ª Dr.ª Maria Gracinda Carvalho Teixeira, *Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil*



Prof.^a Dr.^a Maria Lúcia Pato, Instituto Politécnico de Viseu, Portugal
Prof.^a Dr.^a Maritza González Moreno, *Universidad Tecnológica de La Habana*, Cuba
Prof.^a Dr.^a Mauriceia Silva de Paula Vieira, Universidade Federal de Lavras, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Ninfa María Rosas-García, Centro de Biotecnología Genómica-Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México
Prof.^a Dr.^a Odara Horta Boscolo, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Brasil
Prof. Dr. Osbaldo Turpo-Gebera, *Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa*, Peru
Prof.^a Dr.^a Patrícia Vasconcelos Almeida, Universidade Federal de Lavras, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Paula Arcoverde Cavalcanti, Universidade do Estado da Bahia, Brasil
Prof. Dr. Rodrigo Marques de Almeida Guerra, Universidade Federal do Pará, Brasil
Prof. Dr. Saulo Cerqueira de Aguiar Soares, Universidade Federal do Piauí, Brasil
Prof. Dr. Sergio Bitencourt Araújo Barros, Universidade Federal do Piauí, Brasil
Prof. Dr. Sérgio Luiz do Amaral Moretti, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Silvia Inés del Valle Navarro, *Universidad Nacional de Catamarca*, Argentina
Prof.^a Dr.^a Solange Kazumi Sakata, Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares (IPEN)- USP, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Stanislava Kashtanova, *Saint Petersburg State University*, Russia
Prof.^a Dr.^a Teresa Cardoso, Universidade Aberta de Portugal
Prof.^a Dr.^a Teresa Monteiro Seixas, Universidade do Porto, Portugal
Prof. Dr. Valter Machado da Fonseca, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Vanessa Bordin Viera, Universidade Federal de Campina Grande, Brasil
Prof.^a Dr.^a Vera Lúcia Vasilévski dos Santos Araújo, Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná, Brasil
Prof. Dr. Wilson Noé Garcés Aguilar, *Corporación Universitaria Autónoma del Cauca*, Colômbia
Prof. Dr. Xosé Somoza Medina, *Universidad de León*, Espanha

Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação (CIP)
(eDOC BRASIL, Belo Horizonte/MG)

C569 Ciências socialmente aplicáveis [livro eletrônico] : integrando saberes e abrindo caminhos: vol. VIII / Organizadores Jorge Rodrigues, Maria Amélia Marques. – Curitiba, PR: Artemis, 2023.

Formato: PDF

Requisitos de sistema: Adobe Acrobat Reader

Modo de acesso: World Wide Web

Inclui bibliografia

Edição bilingue

ISBN 978-65-87396-81-1

DOI 10.37572/EdArt_300523811

1. Ciências sociais aplicadas – Pesquisa – Brasil. 2. Abordagem interdisciplinar do conhecimento. I. Rodrigues, Jorge José Martins. II. Marques, Maria Amélia.

CDD 307

Elaborado por Maurício Amormino Júnior – CRB6/2422



APRESENTAÇÃO

O oitavo volume desta coleção segue a lógica dos livros anteriores. Procura apresentar ao leitor uma coletânea de artigos sobre problemáticas que são transversais ao campo das ciências sociais aplicadas.

Sendo discutível, na metodologia seguida na organização dos vários volumes procurou-se privilegiar artigos que abordassem novas tendências e/ou problemáticas transversais relevantes, adotassem metodologias mais holísticas e/ou modelos de investigação aplicada, apresentassem estudos de caso nacionais e/ou internacionais e procurassem ser reflexivos. Nesse contexto, o presente volume está organizado em três grandes eixos – Programação, Sustentabilidade, Educação e redes sociais.

Na construção da estrutura de cada eixo procurou-se seguir uma lógica em que cada artigo possa contribuir para uma melhor compreensão do artigo seguinte, gerando-se um fluxo de conhecimento acumulado que se pretende fluido e em espiral crescente.

Assim, o eixo Programação é constituído por um conjunto de oito artigos. A programação pode ser entendida como um conjunto de actividades que visam transformar tarefas repetitivas e monótonas em rotinas cooperativas e colaborativas. Estas rotinas são algoritmos e modelos matemáticos geradores de informação estruturada e eficiente que, apesar da sua racionalidade limitada, é útil para a tomada de decisões, sejam individuais ou de grupo.

O eixo Sustentabilidade junta um conjunto de sete artigos que, em comum, contribuem para a construção da responsabilidade social. As mudanças climáticas estão a perturbar a vida de milhões de pessoas no planeta, com especial ênfase nas regiões rurais mais pobres e com impacto negativo na economia. Assim, exigem-se políticas públicas inclusivas que incentivem o uso de materiais multíusos, amigos do ambiente. Os resíduos sólidos urbanos necessitam de ser melhor geridos e as empresas deverão ser incentivadas a incorporar aquelas políticas nas suas estratégias, para reforço dos seus valores, conforto e bem-estar dos seus constituintes.

O eixo Educação e redes sociais tem seis artigos. As principais teorias de liderança parecem apontar para que esta seja contingencial, podendo ser ensinada e as respectivas competências treinadas e melhoradas. Todo o ensino, presencial ou a distância, tem os seus pontos fortes e pontos fracos. Exigem-se comportamentos éticos, nomeadamente em ambiente de redes sociais, para evitar fraudes quer com os conteúdos quer com a respectiva avaliação, com eventuais traumas psicológicos em quem é visado.

Com a disponibilização deste livro e seus artigos esperamos que os mesmos gerem inquietude intelectual e curiosidade científica, procurando a satisfação de novas necessidades e descobertas, motor de todas as fontes de inovação.

Jorge Rodrigues, ISCAL/IPL, Portugal
Maria Amélia Marques, IPS/ESCE, Portugal

SUMÁRIO

PROGRAMAÇÃO

CAPÍTULO 1..... 1

NUMERICAL CALCULATION BASED ON AGILE PROGRAMMING DEVELOPMENT TRAINING

Ángel Rubén Barberis

Lorena Elizabeth Del Moral Sachetti

Jorge Alberto Silvera

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3005238111

CAPÍTULO 2..... 11

DISEÑO DE UN ROBOT MÓVIL PARA LA VALIDACION EXPERIMENTAL DE CONTROLADORES EN EL SEGUIMIENTO DE PARED

Jaime Franco Gutiérrez

Moisés García Villanueva

Salvador Ramírez Zavala

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3005238112

CAPÍTULO 3..... 23

FAMÍLIAS ESTRUTURADAS DE MATRIZES ESTOCÁSTICAS SIMÉTRICAS

Cristina Paula da Silva Dias

Carla Maria Lopes da Silva Afonso dos Santos

João Tiago Praça Nunes Mexia

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3005238113

CAPÍTULO 4..... 35

ANÁLISIS DE LA EFICIENCIA DE LOS ALGORITMOS MEDIANTE EL USO DE LAS FUNCIONES DE LANDAU

José Francisco Villalpando Becerra

María José Aceves Sepúlveda

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3005238114

CAPÍTULO 5..... 46

ANÁLISIS DE FTIR EN BREAS DE ALQUITRÁN DE HULLA

Juanita Yazmín Guevara Chávez

Fátima Pamela Lara Castillo

Griselda Berenice Escalante Ibarra

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3005238115

CAPÍTULO 6.....52

DE LA RACIONALIDAD LIMITADA A LA RACIONALIDAD FINANCIERA EN LOS ESTUDIANTES DE LA UAEMEX (UNIDAD ACADÉMICA PROFESIONAL CUAUTITLÁN IZCALLI)

Marco Antonio Piña Sandoval

Fermin Leonel Reyes

Montserrat Piña Cárdenas

Jorge Rogelio Zenteno Domínguez

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3005238116

CAPÍTULO 7 63

SLIDING MODE CONTROLLER-OBSERVER EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN FOR THE TWO-TANK HYDRAULIC SYSTEM TAKAGI-SUGENO MODELING

Ángel Garibo

Marco A. Rodríguez

Juan M. de la Torre

Marisela Y. Hernández

Juan Anzures Marín

Salvador Ramírez Zavala

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3005238117

CAPÍTULO 8.....77

ESTUDO DE TERMINOLOGIA CONTROLADA PARA TRADUÇÃO AUTOMÁTICA COM BASE EM CORPORA DE MANUAIS DE INSTRUÇÕES DE ELECTRODOMÉSTICOS

尹雪璐 Xuelu Yin

甄钊 Zhao Zhen

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3005238118

SUSTENTABILIDADE

CAPÍTULO 9.....92

CLIMATE SHOCKS AND THE US ECONOMY

Dejan Romih

Arne Baruca

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_3005238119

CAPÍTULO 10.....107

EMPODERAMIENTO DETONADOR DE CRECIMIENTO ECONÓMICO ANTE
LOS PROBLEMAS SOCIALES QUE ENFRENTAN LAS MUJERES RURALES
EMPREENDEDORAS QUE VENDEN PESCADO EN LA PERIFERIA DEL MERCADO
PÚBLICO MANUEL LARRAINZAR EN TONALÁ, CHIAPAS

Isabel Pérez Pérez

Graciela de Paz

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381110

CAPÍTULO 11..... 120

PERSONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING SINGLE-USE PLASTIC PACKAGING
CONSUMPTION: A QUALITATIVE APPROACH

María del Carmen Franco Gómez

Kristel Rojas Campoverde

Javier Solano Solano

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381111

CAPÍTULO 12 141

LA GESTIÓN DE RESIDUOS SÓLIDOS URBANOS: UNA VISIÓN DE ESTUDIANTES Y
CIUDADANOS DE CHILPANCINGO, GUERRERO, MÉXICO

Ciro Andraca Sánchez

Justiniano González González

Alejandra Hitahii Muñoz García

María Cristina Santiago Dionisio

Paulino Bueno Domínguez

Manuel Mendoza Mojica

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381112

CAPÍTULO 13.....152

LA RESPONSABILIDAD SOCIAL CORPORATIVA EN LAS EMPRESAS ECUATORIANAS

Alexandra Auxiliadora Mendoza Vera

Pablo Edison Ávila Ramírez

Angélica María Indacochea Vásquez

Martha Margarita Minaya Macías

Gina Gabriela Loor Moreira

Janeth Virginia Intriago Vera

Jorge Luis Loor Tello

Fernando José Veloz Párraga

Maritza Alexandra Ávila Ramírez

Jhonny Antonio Ávila Ramírez

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381113

CAPÍTULO 14..... 167

LAS EMPRESAS FAMILIARES DEL MEDIO RURAL Y SU FORTALEZA EN LA RELACIÓN CON SUS EMPLEADOS

Alma Delia Inda

Gloria Muñoz del Real

Jackeline Hernández Bejarano

Olga Lidia Gutiérrez Gutiérrez

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381114

CAPÍTULO 15..... 178

HUARACHES KWARACHI-INNOVA: CAMINANDO HACIA UN FUTURO ECO-AMIGABLE

Adriana Calderón Gutiérrez

José Roberto Jiménez Echeverría

Liliana Venegas Michel

Armando García Echeverría

Alejandra Delgado Urbina

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381115

EDUCAÇÃO E REDES SOCIAIS

CAPÍTULO 16..... 189

MODELO DE CARACTERIZACIÓN DE LIDERAZGO

Omar Alejandro Guirette Barbosa

Claudia Guadalupe Lara Torres

Emanuel Magallanes Ulloa

Beatriz Adriana Rodríguez González

Selene Castañeda Burciaga

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381116

CAPÍTULO 17 200

CHIAKI ISHII – UMA PESQUISA NARRATIVA SOBRE O ATLETA QUE ALAVANCOU O JUDÔ NO BRASIL A PARTIR DAS COMPETÊNCIAS DO ESPORTISMO

Rodrigo Guimarães Motta

Neusa Maria Bastos Fernandes dos Santos

Wagner Castropil

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381117

CAPÍTULO 18219

TRANSFORMING TRADITIONAL PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT INTO BLENDED LEARNING COMMUNITIES

Cristo Ernesto Yáñez León

James M. Lipuma

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381118

CAPÍTULO 19230

IMPACTO FINANCIERO Y PSICOLÓGICO DEL FRAUDE INFORMÁTICO EN LOS MIEMBROS DE LAS COMUNIDADES EDUCATIVAS DE GUAYAQUIL

Yesenia Karina Alcívar Rendón

Diana Carolina Arriaga León

Damián Enrique Dattus Torres

Douglas Daniel Díaz Torres

Susana Mirella Gómez Cabrera

Alexandra Elizabeth Tituaña Montoya

Eraldo Voltaire Vargas Sánchez

María Yolanda Vera Vera

María Eufemia Villao Ordoñez

Olga Angélica Viteri Campoverde

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381119

CAPÍTULO 20249

LAS REDES SOCIALES COMO MEDIO DE DIFUSIÓN DE LA COMUNIDAD LGBTQ+ EN VERACRUZ

Rossy Lorena Laurencio Meza

María del Pilar Anaya Avila

Carlos Eduardo Anaya Avila

Kevin Eloy Cué Rosales

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381120

CAPÍTULO 21261

A TEORIA HIPODÉRMICA E A OPERACIONALIDADE DO MODELO DE COMUNICAÇÃO DE LASSWELL EM TEMPO DE REDES SOCIAIS: O CASO DE CHARLOTTESVILLE (EUA, 2017)

Paulo Bruno Alves

 https://doi.org/10.37572/EdArt_30052381121

SOBRE OS ORGANIZADORES296

ÍNDICE REMISSIVO 297

CAPÍTULO 18

TRANSFORMING TRADITIONAL PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT INTO BLENDED LEARNING COMMUNITIES

Data de submissão: 24/03/2023

Data de aceite: 12/04/2023

Cristo Ernesto Yáñez León. M.A.E.¹

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0930-0179>

Dr. James M. Lipuma²

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9778-3843>

ABSTRACT: The New Jersey Department of Education sought to develop an online professional learning community for 150,000 educators in nearly 600 school districts. The authors present a post-project analysis of the project developed in support of Face-to-Face, Blended, and fully online learning situations. This project created an “Online Professional Learning Exchange” with blended online learning modules and was funded with over two million dollars. The greatest strength of the OPLE tool is to aid the state of NJ to shift their training from expert delivery of knowledge in a face-to-face format towards the Community of Practice. The paper presents a Systematic

¹ Cristo Ernesto Yáñez León. M.A.E. es el director de investigación de la facultad de artes y ciencias liberales de New Jersey Institute of Technology. NJ. USA. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0930-0179> (autor corresponsal)

² El Dr. James M. Lipuma es el director del “Collaborative for Leadership, Education, and Assessment Research” de New Jersey Institute of Technology. NJ. USA. <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9778-3843>

Review of the Literature, an analysis of Professional Learning and Training Methods, and a description of the methods to create Blended Learning Modules focused on video, written materials, polls, and discussions. Through this integrated approach, the OPLE allows for user mastery of concepts that enhance their ability to provide more efficient and effective instruction to their students. Finally, the paper concludes with the results and implications in light of the current world developments and their impact on education.

KEYWORDS: Professional development. Online learning. Blended learning. Education. K-12. Communities of Practice (CoP). Online professional learning community. Professional learning and training methods. Effective instruction.

1 INTRODUCTION

Across the United States, Professional Development (PD) for K-12 educators has traditionally consisted of lecturing and hands-on workshops augmented with PowerPoint presentations. In some cases, PD providers develop their presentations into Webinars. The projects featured in the paper however, leverage current technologies, relevant research (Adinda & Mohib, 2020; Blitz, 2013, pp. 2013-003; Koller et al., 2005), and experience

in Blended Learning in order to deliver content in an engaging manner and allow teachers greater control over their PD experience. This innovative approach sought to transform traditional PD into Professional Learning Communities (PLC). This paper presents a post-project evaluation of the creation of an online training system in the state of New Jersey USA for its nearly 600 school districts. The New Jersey Institute of Technology (NJIT) was awarded a series of grants to Dr. James Lipuma from the New Jersey Department of Education (NJDOE) Document ID # 324-201-50025³, to gather a team and manage the creation of digital materials and videos to create an online professional development repository and tool for educators. Cristo Leon M.A.E assisted with Project and Strategic Planning Design. This project created blended online learning modules and was funded with over two million dollars from September 2014 to November 2015 with its intellectual property rights ending in 2020.

2 THEORY LITERATURE REVIEW

The authors agree with the definition of a literature review from the SAGE encyclopedia: “The term literature review can be viewed as both what is read and the process that has been undertaken to produce the work in question” (Frey, 2018, p. 983). The review for the present study was performed in two steps. First, a “systematic review of the literature (SRL) was utilized as the strategy for identifying the most relevant studies” (Ramírez-Montoya & García-Peñalvo, 2018) on the field of Pedagogical Content Knowledge. The SRL was used to identify, select, evaluate, and interpret the available resources and data within a period from three specific fields of research: Education, Administration, and Information and Communication Technology (ICT). The process for the analysis is based on the “Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions” (Higgins et al., 2019) as well as the ideas discussed on “Lessons from applying the systematic literature review process within the software engineering domain” (Brereton et al., 2007) as well as, “Systematic Reviews in Educational Research: Methodology, Perspectives and Application” (Newman & Gough, 2020). The SLR followed the three phases for the review: Planning, Management, and Reporting the results. Here the authors present a resume of their findings.

3 RESULTS OF THE SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Following a planning process consisting in identifying the context: “Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK)” and the general dimensions: Educators, Administration,

³ Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge significant funding from the New Jersey Department of Education and seed funding from Roche for our efforts.

and Information and Communication Technology (ICT) to direct the dataset search. It is important to address the major challenge of the review in educational research: “The perhaps major challenge of conducting systematic reviews in educational research is the ‘messiness’, which is inherent in domains that use inconsistent terminology and multifaceted concepts like ‘student engagement’ or ‘educational technology’” (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2020).

3.1 PLANNING PHASE

The first step was to identify general domains and then, define the particular areas of focus, followed by the specific domains to approach the research questions around professional development, training, and online adult learners Table 1. The review was performed on Business (ProQuest, 2020; Scopus, 2020) and Education (ERIC, 2020; JSTOR, 2020) databases.

Table 1. GPS from PCK to O-PLC. Personal elaboration.

Pedagogical Content Knowledge			
	General	Particular	Specific
General	Educators	Administration / HR	Information and Communication Technology (ICT)
Particular	Teaching	Strategic Planning / PD	Instructional Delivery
Specific	In-service training/ learning	Training Transfer Knowledge	Communities of Online Adult Learners
Online Professional Learning Community (O-PLC)			

3.2 MANAGEMENT PHASE

The SLR informed the research and created a database to be used as reference materials for the “Online Professional Learning Exchange (OPLE)”, Table 2:

Table 2.- SLR Categories and keywords. Personal elaboration.

Categories and Keywords	2010 to 2020	2018 to 2020		
	All Documents	All Documents	Books	Peer review articles
Professional Development	147,088	40,410	122	22,893
Continuing education	65,704	16,504	396	8,917
Communities of practice	49,572	14,702	35	10,239
Professional learning	18,198	5,543	28	3,316
Professional learning communities	4,764	1,186	9	729
Knowledge Transfer	41,016	13,978	131	8,882

In-service training	26,322	7,512	56	4,479
Adult learners	12,054	3,255	6	1,872
Curriculum planning	4,538	1,037	8	675
Curriculum and instructional design	165	46	0	32

3.3 REPORT PHASE

The results of the SLR served as a referential mapping tool to identify the most important sources Table 3:

Table 3.- GPS of the SRL from PCK to O-PLC. Personal elaboration.

Pedagogical Content Knowledge: "The Wisdom of Practice: Essays on Teaching, Learning, and Learning to Teach" (Shulman, 2004).			
	General	Particular	Specific
General	Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment (Biggs, 1996)	Can Online Learning Communities Achieve the Goals of Traditional Professional Learning Communities? What the Literature Says. REL 2013-003 (Blitz, 2013, pp. 2013-003)	Professional Learning Communities, Leadership, and Student Learning (Thompson et al., 2004)
Particular	Teaching and Instructional Design Approaches to Enhance Students' Self-Directed Learning in Blended Learning Environments (Adinda & Mohib, 2020)	Professional Development at a Distance (Holmes et al., 2011)	Using instructional design principles to develop effective information literacy instruction: The ADDIE model (Davis, 2013)
Specific	Synchronous Online Collaborative Professional Development for Elementary Mathematics Teachers (Francis & Jacobsen, 2013)	Factors Driving Learner Success in Online Professional Development (Vu et al., 2014)	Thinking together: What makes Communities of Practice work? (Pyrko et al., 2017) Reflexions on Communication, Collaboration, and Convergence: Strategic models for STEM education and research (Lipuma et al., 2023)
Online Professional Learning Community (O-PLC)			

4 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND TRAINING METHODS

Traditional PD activities involve professionals sitting in a room in which the presenter discusses a set of prepared PowerPoint slides. Sometimes, hands-on activities or group discussions are structured into these presentations. In the best of cases,

participants go home excited about what they have learned. The following day, however, they may have questions regarding how to incorporate what they have learned into the context of their classes. In this model, there are not usually any means for participants to review what they have learned other than going through dense handouts or the notes they rushed to write down during the PD session.

Blended learning, on the other hand, involves utilizing digital technology in concert with face-to-face experiences to affect student learning. Beyond just Blended learning educators should be encouraged to establish online communities of practice (Gray & Smyth, 2012; Holmes et al., 2011; Riveros et al., 2012). There are various forms that this model can take (Alammary et al., 2014). Depending on how the process is implemented, students can attain control over the time and place of learning, as well as the pace and review of the material. In the simplest sense, blended learning adds technology tools to augment face-to-face instruction. However, at more advanced levels, these tools for digital learning allow the instructor to expand contact time beyond the synchronous classroom in order to provide the students the opportunity to work through activities (Chen, 2012), access resources, have a forum for discussion and/or engage with class materials, peers, or professors and other professionals at their own time and in ways that best fit the style and pace of learning of the individual student.

"Independent of the degree of penetration that the technology has in a learning environment, blended learning: is defined as a formal education program in which a student learns at least in part through online delivery of content and instruction with some element of student control over time, place, path, and/or pace" (Ferriman, 2013).

Though broad, the key to this definition is that technology facilitates the learner's control over the educational experience in some way. Current students live their lives in a way that adds educational content to the digital universe in which they live making perfect sense. For educators, however, the integration of digital learning may not be as accepted or seamless. Many educational training providers offer live webinars that allow for questions, answers, and feedback. Videos of these events may be made available for review after the event. One significant drawback to these videos is that it is difficult to easily locate specific materials for convenient and efficient review. This issue can be solved with videos that are pre-sourced by the PD provider, or when those are not available, learning objects that are tailor-made for the PD session by the provider directly.

Outside of the PD sessions themselves, interested educators can browse and search online for relevant materials, but this requires a large investment of time. When relevant content is found, it is often not focused, nor is it in the correct context. These issues

are sometimes compounded when content that is found online contains inaccuracies or errors, which is a common problem in a medium that is not generally subject to rigorous peer review. The OPLE model was designed to overcome these issues.

A key aspect of allowing materials to be made available online is the use of video. This project developed a range of video types that effectively allow educators to learn content, see demonstrations, access examples, and share their ideas and questions with the group. “Videos play a vital part in the enhancement of education. They can explain the content in a wide variety of ways for different learning styles and be accessed at any time and in any place with the ability to stream or play digital media. Video allows students to review content as many times as needed, stop to take notes, or see material for the first time if they missed class. “Video allows educators access to demonstrations and explanations that might not be available otherwise due to limits on time, resources, access, or even safety issues” (Lipuma & Reich, 2016). “In conjunction with video and other materials to create knowledge objects, the activities and assessments combine to create persistent learning objects aligned for effective education” (Biggs, 1996; Kirby & Lawson, 2012) .

5 METHODS TO CREATE BLENDED LEARNING MODULES

This section describes the OPLE model utilizing persistent learning objects housed in the Moodle Learning Management System (LMS) that are applicable across disciplines and grounded in authoritative research and good practice, as well as vetted by subject matter experts and government agencies. The objective is to create simple-to-use, accessible tools that help teachers meet educational standards. Implement best practices, and provide students with improved instruction and learning. The authors have applied this concept specifically in the context of the standards for English Language Arts & Literacy, Mathematics, and Science. The OPLE model aims to improve educational efficiency and effectiveness through the use of current tools of Information Technology and Web-based learning (Rock, 2020; Vu et al., 2014).

In order to accomplish the goals of the OPLE projects, the ADDIE model is followed (Davis, 2013). ADDIE is used by instructional designers and trainers to build effective training materials. The five phases of ADDIE's are Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, and Evaluation. In the analysis phase, the instructional goals and objectives are established, the learning environment is constructed, and the target users' existing knowledge and skills are identified. During this phase, the development team considers such questions as: What are the desired outcomes? What types of learning constraints exist? What content is already available?, and What additional content is needed?

For the OPLEs thus far produced and featured in this paper, a wide variety of individuals were surveyed including subject matter experts, K-12 education professionals, and members of the NJ Department of Education (NJDOE, 2020). The design phase identifies the need for and builds learning objectives, exercises, content, subject matter analysis, planning, and the media needed for an effective OPLE. In the development phase, the design team assembles the materials from the design phase and incorporates them into the OPLE. During these phases, the content and tools are vetted and tested by numerous subject matter experts, educational professionals, decision-makers, and test users before a module is considered complete. Next, in the implementation phase, modules are shown to focus groups of potential users. Through an iterative process of procuring feedback from these focus groups, revisions are made and new components are found or produced to further improve upon the usability and thus optimize the OPLE. Finally, the evaluation phase includes the assessment, testing, and refinement of the OPLE based on feedback and data received during the previous phase of production. The key building block of the OPLE is a learning object which consists of knowledge objects, activities, and assessments. These three items are constructively aligned and work together to demonstrate good practice in learning design as well as conveying the needed knowledge and expertise.

Modules are developed to be engaging, clear, and focused, and to have a logical and consistent flow. Based on feedback and experience, modules preferably incorporate a collapsible folder style with pages that are limited in length and do not have the distraction of sidebars. In some cases, an effective alternative is to create digital ‘books’ to help condense the page and achieve a comparable product. Each module begins with a brief description of the content and purpose that includes a “hook” – a short video that engages the user and draws them into the content of the module. The hook is followed by an in-depth exploration of misconceptions related to the content. Next, key concepts are presented. Following these are classroom examples, a discussion and/or forum facility, and links to outside resources that allow users to explore supporting content not determined as vital for the module itself. Resources are categorized by specific topics, more general topics, as well as relevant standards and practices for the discipline.

6 RATIONALE AND RESULTS

Through this integrated approach of combining focused video, written materials, polls, and discussion, the OPLE allows for user mastery of concepts that enhance their ability to provide more efficient and effective instruction to their students. Do the Blended

online learning modules were intended to foster a community of practice in the various domains and across disciplines, no explicit evaluation of the long-term efficacy or value was conducted (Office of Educational Technology, 2014). This work is persistent in that educators using these materials can access learning modules on demand and as desired. Users that are progressing faster will be able to access the next sets of learning objects and move on to the next section, while other users may choose to review portions of the material several times until they are comfortable with the content. Some users may choose to make use of the various available resources that extend or delve deeper into the material, while others may skip this extra content. Using this technology enables the learner to be more self-directed and informed instructors for the given content.

An important aspect of an OPLE is the continued development of more modules and content. OPLE content can be disciplinary in nature to deepen educator knowledge of content, aid their pedagogy, and/or assist in curriculum planning. Moreover, OPLE can be more than just a tool for training. It can facilitate the effective engagement of educators in communities of practice. These efforts can assist Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) in working together to unpack standards and develop curriculum, breaking it into units, and working their way down to lessons that better align instruction with desired student learning outcomes.

7 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

There are numerous benefits to the approach taken by the OPLE project over many traditional forms of PD. The OPLE model enhances traditional PD by allowing the individual learner (in this case an education professional) to take charge of the PD experience. In addition, it both enhances small-group PLCs by adding a blended learning component and integrates isolated PLCs into the larger online community. The goals and content of each module are contextualized and vetted so that the educator does not need to search the Web and filter out a large amount of irrelevant information to find information that they need. Users may choose to view the material as often as they like.

The project materials have been provided openly to the public via the “Blended Online Learning Modules” NJ DOE website (Department of Education, 2020) as well as the “Curriculum Learning and Assessment Studies (CLAS) Network YouTube Channel” (Lipuma, 2015): they are grouped on the following modules: Connected Action Roadmap, PLC Basics, Climate and Culture, PLC Conversations, Tools for School Improvement and Tools for Leaders.

Videos are brief and content-focused so users need not wade through a plethora of content to find what is appropriate and needed. The articles provided are relevant and

the user can choose how much time to focus on any given video or section of an article. This is different from traditional PD in which the presenter controls how long is spent on any given concept or activity. Groups of educators may use modules at the same time and place to guide the discussion of concepts and topics.

The NJDOE utilized the OPLE to conduct live and virtual PD. All districts in NJ were provided access to the materials synchronously and asynchronously which reached 20,000 total users. These each represented groups of educators and administrators using the materials. Its users regularly access the OPLE for content and as a way to facilitate and manage conversations. However, in the end, it was found that without the key elements for an effective community of practice, pockets of users gained the content knowledge but did not continue the interactions once the oversight was removed and key concepts in the content were learned. The key factors in effective Community of Practice (CoP) according to the literature review (Pyrko et al., 2017; Tucker & Seavey, 2018; Wenger, 2000) are Mutual engagement, Joint enterprise, and Shared repertoire.

8 CONCLUSION

The authors hope and expect that the OPLEs that have been developed will aid education professionals in their efforts to continuously enhance their skills and knowledge and assist them to be more effective at planning, implementing, and evaluating their teaching practice, in hopes that this will foster communities of practice. The OPLE also will be an effective medium for sharing best practices and seeking help from colleagues and experts. The greatest strength of the tool is to aid the state of NJ to shift its training from expert delivery of knowledge in a face-to-face format towards the community of practice model. In this way, the best practices and research around how to more effectively engage, and grow overall interest in the community can lead to sustainable and scalable results over time. The initial charge given for the creation of the OPLE was to include the community-building tools of online learning. This was supported with ongoing activities by the end of the five-year life cycle of the project, 20,000 users had engaged with the materials but once training succeeded in providing the needed learning, the learning objects became a resource rather than a springboard to a vibrant community of practice. Further discussions and research, have to be conducted to examine the idea that an OPLE can be a catalyst for a community of practice.

REFERENCES

Adinda, D., & Mohib, N. (2020). Teaching and Instructional Design Approaches to Enhance Students' Self-Directed Learning in Blended Learning Environments. *Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, 18(2), 162-174.

Alammary, A., Sheard, J., & Carbone, A. (2014). Blended learning in higher education: Three different design approaches. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 30(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.693>

Biggs, J. (1996). Enhancing teaching through constructive alignment. *Higher Education*, 32(3), 347-364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00138871>

Blitz, C. L. (2013). Can Online Learning Communities Achieve the Goals of Traditional Professional Learning Communities? What the Literature Says. REL 2013-003. En *Regional Educational Laboratory Mid-Atlantic*. U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Mid-Atlantic. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED544210>

Brereton, P., Kitchenham, B. A., Budgen, D., Turner, M., & Khalil, M. (2007). Lessons from applying the systematic literature review process within the software engineering domain. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 80(4), 571-583. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2006.07.009>

Chen, W.-F. (2012). An investigation of varied types of blended learning environments on student achievement: An experimental study. *International Journal of Instructional Media*, 39(3), 205-.

Davis, A. L. (2013). Using instructional design principles to develop effective information literacy instruction: The ADDIE model. *College and Research Library News*, 74(4), 205-207. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crln.74.4.8934>

Department of Education. (2020). *Blended Online Learning Modules*. <https://www.state.nj.us/education/atoz/bolm.shtml>

ERIC. (2020). *ERIC - Education Resources Information Center* [Home Page]. <https://eric.ed.gov/>

Ferriman, J. (2013, abril 17). Types of Blended Learning [INFOGRAPHIC]. *LearnDash*. <https://www.learnndash.com/types-of-blended-learning-infographic/>

Francis, K., & Jacobsen, M. (2013). Synchronous Online Collaborative Professional Development for Elementary Mathematics Teachers. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 14(3), 319-343.

Frey, B. B. (2018). *The SAGE Encyclopedia of Educational Research, Measurement, and Evaluation* (1.^a ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781506326139>

Gray, C., & Smyth, K. (2012). Collaboration Creation: Lessons Learned from Establishing an Online Professional Learning Community. *Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, 10(1), 60-75.

Higgins, J., Thomas, J., Chandler, J., Cumpston, M., Li, T., Page, M., & Welch, V. (Eds.). (2019). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (2.^a ed.). John Wiley & Sons. www.training.cochrane.org/handbook

Holmes, A., Signer, B., & MacLeod, A. (2011). Professional Development at a Distance: A Mixed-Method Study Exploring Inservice Teachers' Views on Presence Online. *Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education*, 27(2), 76-85.

JSTOR. (2020). *JSTOR* [Home Page]. <https://www.jstor.org/>

Kirby, J., & Lawson, M. (2012). *Enhancing the quality of learning: Dispositions, instruction, and learning processes* (p. 397). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139048224>

Koller, V., Herve, S., & Magnotta, M. (2005). *Technology-Based Learning Strategies*. Social Policy Research Associates. https://www.doleta.gov/reports/papers/tbl_paper_final.pdf

- Lipuma, J. (2015, agosto 31). *CLASNetwork: YouTube Channel* [YouTube Channel]. <https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCo79HYfMxJ75TDOODxQwHsw/videos?app=desktop&view=0&sort=p&flow=grid>
- Lipuma, J., & Reich, J. (2016). *Categorization of Video Used in a Digital Learning Online Professional Learning Exchange for Professional Development by the State of New Jersey*. 79-85. <https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/172712/>
- Lipuma, J., Yañez Leon, C. E., & Guzmán Zarate, V. H. (2023). *Reflexions on Communication, Collaboration, and Convergence: Strategic models for STEM education and research* (1.ª ed.). Mito.
- Newman, M., & Gough, D. (2020). Systematic Reviews in Educational Research: Methodology, Perspectives and Application. En O. Zawacki-Richter, M. Kerres, S. Bedenlier, M. Bond, & K. Buntins (Eds.), *Systematic Reviews in Educational Research: Methodology, Perspectives and Application* (pp. 3-22). Springer Fachmedien. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-27602-7_1
- NJDOE. (2020). *Department of Education Homepage*. <https://nj.gov/education/>
- Office of Educational Technology. (2014). *Exploratory Research on Designing Online Communities of Practice for Educators to Create Value*. <https://tech.ed.gov/designing-online-communities-of-practice/>
- ProQuest. (2020). *ProQuest Ebook Central*. <https://ebookcentral-proquest-com.libdb.njit.edu:8443/lib/njit/home.action>
- Pyrko, I., Dörfler, V., & Eden, C. (2017). Thinking together: What makes Communities of Practice work? *Human Relations*, 70(4), 389-409. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726716661040>
- Ramírez-Montoya, M.-S., & García-Peñalvo, F. (2018). Co-creation and open innovation: Systematic literature review. *Comunicar*, 54, 9-18. <https://doi.org/10.3916/C54-2018-01>
- Riveros, A., Newton, P., & Burgess, D. (2012). A Situated Account of Teacher Agency and Learning: Critical Reflections on Professional Learning Communities. *Canadian Journal of Education*, 35.
- Rock, P. (2020, febrero 24). Communities of Practice – Virtual learning and collaboration opportunities. *Participate Learning*. </blog/communities-of-practice/>
- Scopus. (2020). *Scopus*. <https://www.scopus.com/home.uri>
- Shulman, L. S. (2004). *The Wisdom of Practice: Essays on Teaching, Learning, and Learning to Teach* (1st edition). Jossey-Bass.
- Thompson, S., Gregg, L., & Niska, J. (2004). Professional Learning Communities, Leadership, and Student Learning. *RMLE Online*, 28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19404476.2004.11658173>
- Tucker, C., & Seavey, T. (2018, octubre 26). Cultivating Communities of Practice. *Dr. Catlin Tucker*. <https://catlintucker.com/2018/10/communities-of-practice/>
- Vu, P., Cao, V., Vu, L., & Cepero, J. (2014). Factors Driving Learner Success in Online Professional Development. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, 15(3), 120-139.
- Wenger, E. (2000). *Communities of Practice: Learning, Meaning, And Identity* (1.ª ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Kerres, M., Bedenlier, S., & Buntins, K. (Eds.). (2020). *Systematic Reviews in Educational Research: Methodology, Perspectives and Application*. Springer Fachmedien. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-27602-7_1

SOBRE OS ORGANIZADORES

Jorge Rodrigues é economista. Licenciado, mestre e doutor em Gestão (ISCTE-IUL), com Agregação (UEuropeia). Mestre e pós-doutorado em Sociologia – ramo sociologia económica das organizações (FCSH NOVA). Professor coordenador com agregação no ISCAL – *Lisbon Accounting and Business School* / Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa, Portugal. Exerceu funções de direção em gestão (planeamento, marketing, comercial, finanças) no setor privado, público e cooperativo. Contabilista certificado. É investigador integrado no Instituto Jurídico Portucalense. Ensina e publica nas áreas de empresa familiar e família empresária, estratégia e finanças empresariais, gestão global, governabilidade organizacional, marketing, planeamento e controlo de gestão, responsabilidade social e ética das organizações.

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7904-0061>

Maria Amélia Marques, Doutora em Sociologia Económica das Organizações (ISEG/ULisboa), Mestre em Sistemas sócio-organizacionais da atividade económica - Sociologia da Empresa (ISEG/ULisboa), Licenciada (FPCE/UCoimbra), Professora Coordenadora no Departamento de Comportamento Organizacional e Gestão de Recursos Humanos (DCOGRH) da Escola Superior de Ciências Empresariais, do Instituto Politécnico de Setúbal (IPS/ESCE), Portugal. Membro efetivo do CICE/IPS – Centro Interdisciplinar em Ciências Empresariais da ESCE/IPS. Membro e Chairman (desde 2019 da ISO-TC260 HRM Portugal. Tem várias publicações sobre a problemática da gestão de recursos humanos, a conciliação da vida pessoal, familiar e profissional, os novos modelos de organização do trabalho, as motivações e expectativas dos estudantes Erasmus e a configuração e dinâmica das empresas familiares. Pertence a vários grupos de trabalho nas suas áreas de interesses.

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7196-3838>

ÍNDICE REMISSIVO

A

Agile programming 1, 6
Agile training 1, 6
Alquitrán 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51
Alternatives to plastic 120, 132, 133, 135
Análisis de algoritmos 35, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 45

B

Base design 23, 24
Blended Learning 219, 220, 222, 223, 224, 226, 227, 228

C

Caracterización 51, 147, 189, 192, 193
Charlottesville 261, 262, 263, 273, 277, 278, 279, 281, 282, 283, 284, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295
Ciber espacio 231
Climate 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 226
Climate change 92, 93, 94, 95, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103
Climate crisis 92, 98
Climate shock 92, 93, 94, 95, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102
Competências 61, 176, 194, 200, 201, 202, 203, 205, 206, 207, 210, 215, 216, 217, 218
Complejidad computacional 35, 37, 42, 43, 44
Compuestos aromáticos 46, 49
Comunicación 15, 64, 93, 158, 160, 169, 171, 175, 184, 190, 193, 194, 231, 232, 235, 248, 249, 252, 254, 255, 256, 257, 259, 260
Comunidad LGBTTTTIQ+ 249, 251, 252, 255, 258
Consumer behavior 120, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 136, 137, 140
Control clásico 11, 18
Control difuso 11, 16, 17
Convivencia 167, 172, 173, 175, 231, 232, 245, 259
Corpora 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88

E

Eco-amigables 179, 180, 185, 186

Economía 53, 54, 61, 62, 89, 92, 93, 107, 136, 164, 186, 206
Economy 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 98, 99, 100, 101, 108, 124, 128, 132, 136, 138
Education 10, 122, 124, 126, 139, 151, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229
Effective instruction 219, 225
Eficiencia computacional 35
Empoderamiento 107, 112, 113, 114, 115, 117, 118, 119, 256
Empresa familiar 167, 168, 169, 170, 172, 173, 174, 175, 177
Empresas ecuatorianas 152, 153, 154, 163, 164
Entrevista focalizada 249, 252, 255
Esportismo 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 210, 216, 217, 218
Estándares internacionales 153, 158

F

Famílias estruturadas 23, 25, 28, 32
Fraude 195, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 237, 238, 240, 241, 244, 245
Funciones de Landau 35, 37, 40, 41, 43, 44, 45
Fuzzy logic control 22, 64

G

Grupos de intereses 153

H

Huaraches cómodos 178, 179, 182, 186, 187
Hulla 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51

I

Incertidumbre 52, 53, 55, 58, 60
Infrarojo 46
Instrumento 53, 107, 146, 172, 189, 193, 205, 217, 233, 263, 264, 265

J

Jornalismo 261, 262, 292, 293
Judô 200, 201, 202, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 218

K

K-12 219, 225
Kwarachi-Innova 178, 179, 180, 186, 187

L

Lasswell 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 277, 281, 282, 284, 285, 288, 289, 292, 293, 294, 295

Liderazgo 112, 176, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196

LMI sliding modes observer 64

M

Manuais de instruções dos eletrodomésticos 77, 80, 81

Materiales sustentables 178, 179, 182, 184, 186, 187

Matrizes estocásticas simétricas 23, 25, 29, 32

Mercados públicos 107, 108, 113

Modelo 16, 23, 25, 28, 32, 56, 57, 64, 139, 144, 151, 160, 164, 167, 168, 169, 172, 173, 175, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 213, 216, 217, 218, 261, 262, 263, 264, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 277, 278, 281, 282, 284, 285, 288, 289, 292, 293, 294

Modelos 23, 25, 28, 29, 32, 33, 173, 174, 189, 190, 191, 259, 265, 294

Mujeres rurales 107, 109, 110, 111, 113, 114, 117, 118, 119

O

Online learning 219, 220, 222, 226, 227, 228

Online professional learning community 219, 221, 222, 228

Operaciones 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 43, 44, 108, 154, 165, 167, 168, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175

P

Perspectiva de género 113, 118, 249, 252, 253, 255, 257, 259

Pesquisa narrativa 200, 201, 205, 216, 217

Phishing 231, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 241, 245, 246, 247

Población 53, 54, 109, 110, 111, 141, 142, 143, 145, 146, 147, 148, 150, 163, 236, 240, 246, 258, 260

Professional development 219, 220, 221, 222, 228, 229

Professional learning and training methods 219

Programming training 1, 6

Programming with scrum 1

Propiedad 15, 43, 161, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175

Q

Qualitative approach 120, 122, 153

R

Racionalidade financeira 52, 55

Racionalidade limitada 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 60, 61

Redes sociais 239, 243, 244, 249, 251, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260

Relleno sanitario 141, 142, 144, 145, 148, 149

Resíduos sólidos urbanos 141, 142, 144, 147, 149, 150, 151

Responsabilidade social 152, 153, 154, 156, 158, 159, 160, 161, 163, 164, 165, 166

Robot móvel 11, 13, 14, 18, 22

S

Satisfação de gostos y necesidades 179

Scrum 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10

Single-use plastic packaging 120, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 133, 134, 135, 136

Sistemas de control 11, 12, 13, 22

Subproduto 46, 47, 50, 143

Sustainable consumption 120, 125, 126, 129, 130, 136

T

Takagi Sugeno fuzzy model 64, 65, 76

Teoria hipodérmica 261, 262, 263, 267, 268, 271, 272, 273, 293

Terminologia controlada 77

Toma de decisiones 15, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 59, 60, 115, 157, 169, 172, 192, 196

Tradução automática 77, 78, 79, 80, 82, 83, 85, 88, 89

U

United States 22, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 143, 151, 219, 262, 275, 286, 294

V

Variables 17, 33, 64, 65, 66, 67, 141, 142, 144, 146, 147, 148, 149, 163, 172, 173, 177

Virtualidad 231, 255